

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUN 1 8 2004

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

The Honorable John D. Dingell Ranking Member Committee on Energy and Commerce U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-6115

Dear Congressman Dingell:

Thank you for your letters of February 5 and March 12, 2004, to Administrator Leavitt seeking comprehensive information on perchlorate, TNT, RDX, HMX and white phosphorous contamination at Department of Defense facilities. We have provided the remaining data you requested with complete responses for 3b, 4, 7b, and 8b of your February 5th inquiry.

EPA welcomes the opportunity to assist you with your inquiry. We previously responded to questions 1 and 2 on February 6, 2004 and questions 3a, 5, 6, 7a, 8a and 9 on April 20, 2004.

If you or have further questions or concerns, please contact me or your staff may contact Holly Smithson, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-1609.

MILL VIN

Associate Administrator

Enclosures



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUN 1 8 2004

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

The Honorable Hilda L. Solis Ranking Member Subcommittee on Environment and Hazardous Materials U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-6115

Dear Congresswoman Solis:

Thank you for your letters of February 5 and March 12, 2004, to Administrator Leavitt seeking comprehensive information on perchlorate, TNT, RDX, HMX and white phosphorous contamination at Department of Defense facilities. We have provided the remaining data you requested with complete responses for 3b, 4, 7b, and 8b of your February 5th inquiry.

EPA welcomes the opportunity to assist you with your inquiry. We previously responded to questions 1 and 2 on February 6, 2004 and questions 3a, 5, 6, 7a, 8a and 9 on April 20, 2004.

If you or have further questions or concerns, please contact me or your staff may contact Holly Smithson, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-1609.

Charles L. Ingebretson

Associate Administrator

Enclosures

required based on a site-specific risk assessment. environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

whether private or public drinking water wells have been closed, temporarily or otherwise, by contamination from a constituent of military munitions and if so provide the location of the well. whether the constituent of military munitions has been detected in the groundwater under an operational range. In addition, indicate detected, the remedial action, if any, that has been taken and whether the facility is listed on the Superfund NPL. Further, please indicate constituent that has been detected, the levels of contamination discovered, the date the constituent was detected, the media where it was 3b. Please identify each DOD facility where EPA has knowledge that, or has reason to believe that, a constituent of military munitions, including perchlorate, TNT, RDX, HMX, or White Phosphorus has been detected or discovered? For each such facility identify the

			1	1-	T	<u> </u>	w	T	ယ
Region									
	Otis ANGB (Army Camp Edwards)	Aberdeen Proving Grounds/2 NPL sites		Aberdeen Proving Grounds/2 NPL sites					
	וני	ודי	17	' II	T.	Ŧ	Y		Y
Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *	HMX - 1400 ppm	HMX - 93 ppb	RDX - 14000 ppm	RDX - 370 ppb	TNT - 57 ppm	TNT - 16 ppb	RDX - 16,000 ppb (sed) RDX - 1,730,000 ppb (soil)	RDX 42 ppb (sw) RDX 470 ppb (gw)	HMX - 142,000 ppb (sed) HMX - 188,000 ppb (soil)
Date(s) of Detection	2000	1998	1999	1999	2002	1998	10/03 12/1998	03/2002 11/2001	12/98
Contaminated Media	soil	groundwater	soil	groundwater	soil	groundwater	Sediment Soil	SW	Sediment Soil
Remedial Action	No remedy	No remedy	Removal on-going	Remedy in design	No remedy	No remedy	n/a		n/a
Op. Range	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a		n/a
Closed DW.	No	No	No	No	No	No	n/a		n/a

BTA TRUMPINE NO. environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

	w	3	w		w	0.0	, w	3	, ,	.	ω	-	W		٠		w			
Tiwian Head	Depot/2 NPL sites	Letterkenny Army	Depot/2 NPL sites	Letterkenny Army	Letterkenny Army	Fort Meade	Fort Meade	Ordnance Depot, Va	Ordnance Depot, Va	Former Nansemond	Lab	Allegany Ballistics	Lab	Grounds/2 NPL sites Allegany Ballistics	Aberdeen Proving		Grounds/2 INPL Sites	Aberdeen Proving		
	*	< 	H	<	Υ	Y	Y	K	4	<		V	<u> </u>	< -	<			Y	-	Ž
HMX - 268,364J ppb (soil)	HWX - 2011 & SW	UMV Call o GW	RDX - GW, Soil & SW		TNT - GW & Soil	TNT - 96 pph	RDX - 120 ppb	RDX - 1.63 ppb (gw)		T 105 - 1	RDX 150 ppb (gw)	Perchiorate 120 mmh (co.i)	HMX 41 ppb (gw)	& sed)	1N7 - 290 ppb (gw)	TN1 - 0.6 ppb (sw)	TNT - 3,260,000 ppb (soil)	TNT - 69,000 ppb (sed)		Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
05/2001	n/a		n/a	1	n/a	1004	1994	6/1992	6/1992		3/4/86	3/31/61	2/21/01 9/12/03		11/2001	10/1995	12/1995	6/94		Date(s) of Detection
Soil	Soil SW	Soil	GW SW	Soil	GW	Cur	GW	GW	GW Soil		Soil GW		Soil GW	Sediment Soil	GW	SW	Soil	Sediment		Contaminated Media
No remedy	n/a		n/a	11/2	Removal, no remedy	selected	Removal, no remedy	Removal, no Remedy	Removal, no Remedy	ongoing.	Some Removals; investigations	ongoing.	Some Removals; investigations	n/a			1	n/a		Remedial Action Taken
No	Yes		Yes	Yes	No		No	No	No		No		No	n/a		·	100	1/2		Op.
No	No		No	70°	No		No	No	No		No		No	n/a			II/a			Closed DW Wells

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

EPA Facility Name Region	3 NDW-Indian Head	3 Naval Supply Depot-			3 Naval Weapons Station Yorktown								3 3 3		3 3 3						
TAN	Y TWT-	Y INI -		Y TNT	ү НМХ-	Y RDX-	Y RDX - 7	KUX - I	KUX - 2	KUX - 3	Y HMX-		_	2,4,6 IN		N TNT-7	N TNT (soil)	_	N RDX - 2,		PDV 1.
Constituent and Highest Concentration Level	TNT - 4 mph (axi)	INT – 620,000 ppb (soil)	unable to verify at this time)	TNT - 40,000,000 ppb (unable to verify at this time)	HMX – 3,200,000 ppb	RDX - 14,000,000 ppb	RDX - 7060 ppb (soil)	KUX - 12/.0 ppb (gw)	KUX - 218 ppb (sed)	кDX - 30.0 ppb (sw)	HMX - 3950 ppb (soil)	HMX - 40.5 ppb (gw)	INI - 360 ppb (soil)	2,4,6 IN1 - 2.6 ppb (gw)	TNT - 2,200,000 ppb (gw)	TNT - 7,760,000 ppb (soil)	1)		RDX - 2,670,000 ppb (soil) RDX - 2,300 ppb (gw)	6.7	RDX - 1.8 ppb (sed)
Date(S) of Detection	07/2000	1/1999	666171	07/1993	07/1993	07/1993	12/1994	02/1995	12/1994	12/1994	12/1994	01/1997	12/1994	1/1997	06/1999 12/1999	8/2001	N/A		12/1985 05/1997	01/1991	_
E M. L.		CW)	3011	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	GW	Sediment	SW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	GW Gw	Soil	Soil		Soil GW	2	Sediment
Remedial Action Taken		No remedy	No remedy, (Former Penniman Plant)	Bioremediation	Bioremediation	Bioremediation	n/a		•		n/a	•	n/a	n/a	Capping, flashing,	No remedy	Soil removal		Removal		
Op.		No	No No	No	No	No	Yes	,			Vec	100	Yes	Yes	No	No	<u>z</u>	(No		
Closed DW		No	No	No	No	No	No				No.	INO	No.	No	No	No	No.		No		

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different required based on a site-specific risk assessment.

ſ					—-	—							_														
		4			,	4	+		4		4	4	. 4	<u></u>			0	2	U	J	ယ		_	ω			Kegion
	(תתאור)		Ammunition Di-	A laborate A		ron Campoell	Fort Community of the C		Fort Campbell	Memphis	USA Defense Depot	Memphis	Memphis 118 A Defense Design	USA Defense Depot	Ammunition Plant	Radford Army	Ammunition Plant	Radford Army	Ammunition Plant	Radford Army	NowC-White Oak	New white			NSWC-White Oak		Nacility Name
				_	_	Z	<u>{</u>		Z		Y	×		V		Z	-	Z	-	Z	Z		•		Z		ā
	TNT - 0.733 ppb (sw)	TNT - 26000 ppb (gw)	TNT – 7900 ppm (soil)	HMX – 0.28 ppb (sw)	HMX – 24.5 ppb (gw)	HMX – 87.2 ppm (soil)	RDX – 0.516 ppb (sw)	KUX - /6.5 ppb (gw)	RDX – 35.7 ppm (soil)		HMX - 24 2 ppm (soil)	RDX – 194.7 ppm (soil)	Tive word point (SOII)	TNT _ 10 5 mm (52:1)	10,000 ppo (8011)	RDY = 16.000 ppo (son)	HMX = 123 000 mmh (soil)	HMY 15 6 -1 (-1)	TNT = 6.530 000 and (co.ii)	TNT 7 1,000 ppb (gw)	TNT - 2,020,000 ppb (soil)	HMX - 2.9 ppb (sw)	HMX - 27,000 ppb (sed)	HMX - 470 ppb (gw)	HMX - 860,000 ppb (soil)		Constituent and Highest Concentration Leve
			05/1978	09/1998	06/1999	11/1995	09/1998	06/1999	11/1995	8661/90	00/1000	08/1998	08/1998	2000	05/1999	12/199/	13/1999	01/1995	05/1999	02/1986	12/1985	02/1998	03/1998	01/1991	11/1996		Date(S) of
	SW	GW .	Soil	SW	GW	Soil	SW	GW	Soil	S01i	:	Soil	Soil		Soil	5011	GW	Soil	GW	GW	Soil	SW	Sed	GW	Soil		Comaminated
of soil; on-site	400,000 cubic yards	excavation of	October 1994		•	No remedy		•	No remedy	No remedy		No remedy	No remedy	selected, Karst	Removal; No remedy	selected, Karst	Removal; No remedy	selected, Karst	Removal; No remedy		Removal				Removal		Remedial Action
		Ž	2			2			No	No		No	No		No		No		No		No	•			Z	Kange	. Op.
		140	No		170	Z			No.	No		No	No		No		No		No		No			INO	No	- Yells	គ្ន

EFA Facility Name environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

				TOTAL PARTY	VedI3		Dance	
		No.	l'electer.				0	-
						thermal treatment		
						followed by		
		_				solidification and		
						landfilling.		
						Remediated to		
					-	support industrial		
	Aniston Army Denct	<				reuse.		
4	- with the public state of the	H	INI - 8.8 ppm (soil)	10/1997	Soil	No remedy	No	No
_	Aniston Army Denot	<	1N1 - 3.0 ppm (gw)		GW			
4	The section of the section	<u> </u>	RDA - 23.0 ppm (soil)	10/1997	Soil	No remedy	No	No
	Aniston Army Denot	4	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		GW			
4	- minosou vamy topot	H	HMX - 24.0 ppm (soil)	10/1997	Soil	No remedy	No	No
	Naval Air Station	٧	HMY 3001 mm (22.1)) (*			
	Cecil Field	,	THE SOUL PRINCIPLE	0//1995	Soil	No remedy	No	No
4	Base/Cane Canageral	Z	RDX - 0.28 - 17.2 ppb	1998-2003	GW	No remedy - RCRA	Yes	No I No
	1					permitted active EOD		drinking water
	Fort McClellan Army	Z	TVT - 0.95 ppm (soil)	07/2002	2	OB/OD range		wells
4	Garrison		TNT - 3.15 ppb (gw)	06/1995	Soil	No remedy	No No	No
			TNT - 2.2 ppb (sw)	06/1994	SW	_		
^	ron McClellan Army	z	RDX – 2.01 ppm (soil)	05/1994		No remedy		
4	Callison		RDX – 4.5 ppb (gw)	09/1994			140	140
			RDX – 2.9 ppb (sw)	02/2002	SW		· ·	
4	For McCiellan Army	Z	HMX – 5.8 ppm (soil)	05/1994		No remedy	<u> </u>	No
	Gairison		HMX - 1.2 ppb (gw)	05/2001				INO
4	A TIME Army	Y	TNT - 54619 ppm (soil)	11/1978		Groundwater	1	V
	Authuninon Plant		TNT - 15800 ppb (gw)	11/1978		reterm	140	105

EFA | Facility No. environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or required based on a site-specific risk assessment. ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

	4			4			4			4			4					Region	
	Space Flight Center)	Kedstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall		Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including	Redstone Army		Ammunition Plant	Milan Army		THE TOTAL TOTAL	Amminitian Diana	Milan Amaz	-			
		∀		·	×		•	<		,	V			K	;				4
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene – 0.33	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene – 46.3 ppb (gw)	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene – 1.16 ppm (soil)	1,3-Dinitrobenzene – 0.2 ppb (sw)	1,3-Dinitrobenzene – 0.861 ppb (gw)	1,3-Dinitrobenzene038 ppm (soil)	modigiycol – 0.5 ppb (sw)	Thiodiglycol – 3.1 ppm (soil) Thiodiglycol – 42 ppb (gw)	Thiodiglycol 2 1 mm (acit)	i	HMX – 2600 ppb (gw)	HMY 3 20 mm (5.1)	RUA – 45 ppb	RDX – 17600 ppb (gw)	RDX - 10460 ppm (soil)		1NI - 53.3 ppb (sw)	Letected 7	Hender C	
04/2001	01/1991	07/1996	09/1993	01/1991	0/1996	11/1996 03/1999	09/1996	20120		8/61/11	: /: 22	1977	11/1978	11/1978		1977		Date(s) of Detection	
	SW Sediment	Soil GW		\$	Soil GW	SW	GW	:	0	Soil		SW	GW	Soil		SW		Contaminated Wedia	
		No remedy			No remedy		No remedy	composting	Soil removal &	Groundwater	composting	Soil removal &	Extraction System	Groundwater	composting	Soil removal &		Remedial Action Taken	
		Yes			No		Yes			No			i	5			0	Op. Range	
		No			No		No			Yes				Vec				Closed DW	

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was Concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or required based on a site-specific risk assessment. ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

A P A		11000	THE FISH ASSESSMENT.			,	; ;	3
8. 800 1		ĺ	Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *	Date(s) of Detection	Contaminated Media	Remedial Action Taken	Op. Range	Closed DW Wells
			ppb (sw)					
			1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene – 0.055 ppm (sed)	04/1998				
	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	Y	2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene – 8.57 ppm (soil)	07/1990	Soil GW	No remedy	Yes	No
4	Space Flight Center)		2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene – 1.7 ppb (gw)	09/1993	Sediment		<u>.</u>	
			2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene – 0.46 ppb (sw)	04/2001				
			2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene – 0.085 ppm (sed)	05/1999				
	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	×	2,4-Dinitrotoluene – 5.17 ppm (soil)	05/1994	Soil GW	No remedy	Yes	No
4	Space Flight Center)		2,4-Dinitrotoluene – 37 ppb (gw)	10/1987	*			
			2,4-Dinitrotoluene – 0.22 ppb (sw)	06/1999				
	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	Y	2,6-Dinitrotoluene – 83 ppm (soil)	05/1994	Soil GW	No remedy	Yes	No
4	Space Flight Center)		2,6-Dinitrotoluene – 1.8 ppb (gw)	03/1999	Sw Sediment			
			2,6-Dinitrotoluene – 0.27 ppb (sw)	03/2002			····	

facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have required based on a site-specific risk assessment. concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest DPA | Facility Name

		4		T	4	 -								
-		•			+				4		4	,		
	space rugnt (enter)	NASA Marshall	Arsenal (including		Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	Redstone A.	Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including NASA Marshall	Dollar	Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including NASA Marshall		
									×		-	×		
d)	HMX – 8.1 ppb (sw)	HMX – 110 ppb (gw)	HMX – 6800 ppm (soil)	4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene – 0.032 ppm (sed)	4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene – 2.2 ppb (gw)	4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene – 1.9 mg.kg (soil)	3-Nitrotoluene – 0.19 ppb (sw)	3-Nitrotoluene – 0.16 ppb (gw)	3-Nitrotoluene – 0.38 ppm (soil)	2-Nitrotoluene – 0.33 ppb (sw)	2-Nitrotoluene – 0.15 ppb (gw)	2-Nitrotoluene – 0.25 ppm (soil)	2,6-Dinitrotoluene – 0.746 ppm (sed)	Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
01/1991	08/1996	08/1996	09/1993	05/1999	04/1998	09/1998	06/1999	04/2001	07/1997	06/1999	09/1999	05/1999	01/1991	Date(s) of Detection
	Sediment	SW	Soil		sealment	Soil GW		**	Soil GW		V	Soil GW		Contaminated Media
			No remedy			No remedy			No remedy			No remedy		Remedial Action Taken
			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes	- 1	Op. Range
			No			No			No			No		Closed DW Wells

EPA Pacility Name environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a

Region racinty Name NPL Highest	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center) Nitrobenz			Arsenal (including	4 NASA Marshall Nitrocellul Space Flight Center)	Redstone Army Arsenal (including			×	inter)	ng - Y	NASA Marchall	nter)
Constituent and Highest Concentration Level	Nitrobenzene – 1.8 ppm (soil) Nitrobenzene – 0.72 ppb (gw)	Nitrobenzene – 0.18 ppb (sw) Nitrobenzene – 0.13 ppm (sed)		Nitrocellulose - 12.8 ppm (soil)	Nitrocellulose – 2.4 ppm (sed)	Nitroglyerin – 20 ppm (soil)	Nitroglyerin – 4.3 ppb (gw)	Nitroglyerin – 0.76 ppb (sw)	Nitroguanidine015 ppm (soil)	Nitroguanidine – 4.4 ppb (gw)	ppm (soil)	PETN – 5.98 ppm (sed)	RDY - 5400 mm (2031)
Date(s) of Detection	09/1996	03/1999	09/1993	09/1998 -	06/2000	09/1996		08/1999 03/2002	05/1999	06/1999	10/1996	01/1991	09/1993
Contaminated Wedta	Soil GW SW	Sediment		Soil		Soil	SW		Soil GW		Soil	Sed	Soil
Remedial Action Taken	No remedy			No remedy		No remedy			No remedy		No remedy		No remedy
Op. Range	No.			Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes		Yes
Closed DW Wells	No		·	No		No			No		No		Z

EPA Facility Name environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was Concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

S	4	4	4	4	4		
							Region
Jefferson Proving Ground ·	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center)	Facility Name
Z	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		P
Perchlorate – 0.25 to 0.97 ppm (soil) Perchlorate - < 0.337 to 3.4 g/L	Mustard gas – 0.4 ppb (gw)	1,4-Oxathiane – 37 ppb (gw) 1,4-Oxathiane – 1.8 ppb (sw)	1,4-Dithiane - 83 ppb (gw)	p-Nitrotoluene – 1.5 ppm (soil) p-Nitrotoluene – 0.26 ppb (sw)	Tetryl – 3200 ppm (soil) Tetryl – 0.4 ppb (gw) Tetryl – 0.65 ppb (sw)	RDX – 310 ppb (gw) RDX – 1000 ppb (sw) RDX – 0.05 ppm (sed)	Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
09/2002	11/1996	08/1996 03/2002	01/1991	07/1997	07/1997 07/1997 08/1997 04/2001	01/1991	Date(s) of Detection
Soil GW	GW	GW SW	GW	Soil SW	Soil GW SW	GW SW Sed	Contaminated Media
No Remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	s d	Remedial Action Taken
Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Op. Range
No	No	No	No	No	No		Closed DW Wells

The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a EPA Racility Name environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or required based on a site-specific risk assessment. 4

Kegion		5 Jefferso Ground	5 Jeffersc Ground	5 Jeffersc Ground	5 Jeffersc Ground	5 Jefferso Ground		5 Ground					0,70,70,70	
		Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	n Proving	n Proving	n Proving	n Proving	-	girvorrug	n Proving	on Proving on Proving on Proving
		Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	
Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *	(gw)	NB - < 0.030 g/L (gw)	2-NT -< 0.090 g/L (gw)	3-NT - < 0.090 g/L (gw)	4-NT - < 0.090 g/L (gw)	NG - < 0.090 g/L (gw)	4-A-2,6-DNT - < 0.01g/L)NB - < 0.090 g/L (gw)	2,4-DNT - < 0.010 g/L (gw)	2,6-DNT - < 0.02 g/L (gw)	0.10 g/L	RDX - < 0.10 g/L (gw) RDX - < 0.011 to 0.098 ppm (soil)	TNB - < 0.30 g/L (gw)	
Date(s) of Detection		09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	00/2002
Contaminated Wedia		GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	Soil GW	GW	GW
Remedial Action Taken		No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Domodi
Op. Range		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Closed DW- Wells		No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was required based on a site-specific risk assessment. concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

Γ										
	5	5	5	5	· v	5	5	5	S	Region
Refuge NPL Site	Dump/Crab Orchard	Dump/Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge NPL Site	Dump/Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge NPL Site Sangamo Electric	Dump/Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge NPL Site Sangamo Flaction	Wright-Patterson AFB	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Jefferson Proving Ground	Pacinty Name
			Κ Κ	V I	म	N	Z	Z	Z	Z.E.
RDX – 25 ppb (surface water) RDX – 890 ppb (gw)		HMX – 10,000 ppb (sediment) HMX – 7.9 ppb (surface water) HMX – 34 ppb (gw)	INT - 1,500 ppb (soil) TNT - 1,800 ppb (sediment) TNT - 22 ppb (gw) HMX - 30 000 ppb (soil)	Perchlorate – 1,200 ppb (gw)	Perchlorate – 17.2 ppb (DW) (Suspected laboratory error) Resampled perchlorate – non- detect	2,6-DNT – 0.046 ppm (soil)	2,4-DNT – 0.58 ppm (soil)	HMX - <0.30 g/L (gw)	2,4,6-TNT - < 0.030 g/L (gw)	Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
	Spring of 2000	2000	Spring of 2000	Spring of 2000	02/2002 07/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	09/2002	Date(s) of Detection
Surface Water GW	Soil Sediment	Soil Sediment Surface Water GW	Soil Sediment GW	GW	DW	Soil	Soil	GW	GW	Contaminated Media
	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	Remedial Action Taken
	No	No	No	N ₀	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Op. Range
	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Closed DW Wells

required based on a site-specific risk assessment. environmental risks at the facility. purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was

	6	0	6	7	T	6	-		0		6	7	ر 		<u>. </u>	Ţ	6	7		ע ——					PARTY CAR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I	724	 				-1													- 1			<u>ن</u>		Region	
	Louisiana AAP	McAlester AAP	Range	Melrose Domin	Cannon AFB	Ft Wingate	0	Ft Wingate	A THE MISSION	Ft Wingsta	Ft Wingate		Ft Wingate		Ft. Wingate		Ft. Wingate ***	Refuge NPL Site	National Wildlife	Dump/Crab Orchard	Refuge NPL Site	National Wildlife	Dump/Crab Orchard	Sangamo Electric		racuity wante
	∀ :	Z	2	1 2	2	z	-	Z	Z		Z		Z		Z		Z			————		_		4		
THE TO TO T, 200 DDD (gw)	1 ()	RDX – 3 pph (gw/)	Perchlorate –1 l ppb	DW)	(800,000)	Nitrate/Nitrite (235,000)	1,3,5-TNB – 110,000 (soil)	1.2 5 This 201 ()	2,4-DN,T - 2.19 ppb (gw)	TNT - 519,000 (soil	TNT - 1.27 (gw)	RDX – 2,390,000 (soil)	RDX - 940 pph (ou)	HMX – 180000 ppb (soil)	HMX – 22.8 pph (gw)	Perchlorate - 2790 ppb (soil)	Perchlorate - 2860 ppb (gw)			UXO Scrap – 25,894 pounds	TWO		1111 - 225,000 ppm (80H)	TNT _ 223 000 nom (p.:)	Highest Concentration Level	
08/198/	2003	2002	04/1999	12/2000	12/1992	12/1992	09/1996 12/1992	12/1992	09/1997	12/1992	12/1992	12/1992	00/1002	12/1997	02/1007	09/2000	07/2000	1999- 2000	Action	Removal	1993	n 1001	Kemedial	=	Detection	Juke Signer
GW	GW	DW		GW GW	Soil	GW	GW Soil	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Soi:	CHI	Seil	CM	Soil	GW			Soil			Soil		Contaminated Media	The second secon
MNA for GW	None		None	None	To Kellicuy	No Remedy	No Remedy		No Remedy	NO Nemedy	No Damada	No Remedy		No Remedy	Dioremediation	Diamodicii	In eith Enhanced	(completed in 2000)	remove UXO	Removal Action to	(completed in 2001)	site disposal	Excavation and off-		Remedial Action Taken	
No	No		Yes	No	n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a		n/a		n/a		Yes				No			No		Op. Range	
No	No		No	No	8		No		No	No		No		No		No				No			No		Closed DW Wells	

facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a required based on a site-specific risk assessment concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

Ė	æ	٠	ď
35	ř,	ķ	ř
2	ú	ď,	'n
,		P	ę
		7	?
÷	٩,		
	-		_
. ;	ď	1	ì
		٠.,	
	٠,		
٠,		ď	1
	ŝ	43	
ï		e.	Ė
7	7	9	ŀ
4	×	١.	š.
ě	ř		
		'n	ŀ
'n		-	ŀ
b		i	i.
٠	۳	Þ.	ì
٠,	ď	١.	
٠	ī		
÷		γ	4
×	ŗ,	÷	1
в	c	ρ.	1
I			å
۴	ü	Ö	ı
ø	ď	ų,	ı
	ρ		ı
ä		::	1
	ï		1
		P	ı
5			1
Ċ.	ľ		ł
5	:		ı
	5		Ì
	ö	F,	ı
	H	1	ł
н	•		f
1	į		l
	ì		
	-		
	2 - 2		
	2		
	1 - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -		
	20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

			6			T						Т	_	_					Τ-											
									 2		·				_						•	7		-						Tegin
	!			and the state of the	Longhorn AAP						Louisiana AAP							Louisiana AAP			•			Louisiana AAP	!					
				-	<	-					Y							4			•		,	<	_		-			
		- 163,000 ppb (soil)	Site 04 -36.90 ppb (sw)	reichiorate	Danaki				E Physical control	TNT up to 1033 nnm (soil)	TNT up to 18.400 pph (ow)						The set to /,/20 ppo (gw)	TNB in to 7 770				ab to our phin (son)	RDY in to 602 mm (aci)	RDX iin to 1/1 120 mmh ()						Highest Concentration Level
				02/1998						00,1707	08/1097						08/198/						/861/80	201	.=					Detection
			Soil			-			2011	G - 12	Cw						GW _					Soil	GW					Soil		Contaminated Media
has been completed.	reduce perchlorate	amendments to	on using soil	Pilot study focusing	capping, and grading,	backfilling,	greater 100 ppm,	incineration of soils	Excavation and	MINA for GW	capping, and grading,	oackiining,	balenia ppiii,	greater 100 mm	incineration of soils	Excavation and	MNA for GW	capping, and grading,	backfilling,	greater 100 ppm,	incineration of soils	Excavation and	MNA for GW	capping, and grading,	backfilling,	greater 100 ppm,	incineration of soils	Excavation and		Remedial Action Taken
				2					_	No							No						No						0	Op. Range
			140							N ₀							No		,				No	•						Closed DW Wells

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest required based on a site-specific risk assessment.

1																								
	6							6								-		7					Kegion	
		Longhorn AAP		- · · · · ·								-		Longitom AAP	I onghom AAD				(Longhorn AAP	,			Facility Name
		Υ										-		×	4				•	<	<u>. </u>			P
/.110 ppb (soil)	Site 17 (Burning Ground No 2/Flshing Area) – 320,000 ppb (GW)	Perchlorate									· ppo(sw)	Harrison Rayon 00 ant/our	Site 16 (Old Landfill)-2430 ppb	Perchlorate				Sile 12 Latiutiti – 36 ppb (gw)	Site 12 I and Ell SC 1	Derchloreto		Detected	Highest Concentration Level	Constituent and
	02/11/00	02/1998												02/1998					02/1998				Detection	Date(s) of
	Soil	CW												GW				•	GW				Media	
	Heasibility study underway.	underway.	perchlorate) in-situ is	groundwater fincluding	treated contaminated	amendments to	Fieldpilot study using	plant.	the site gw treatment	contaminated gw to	pumping	extraction system is	interim GW	Landfill canned An	ROD Targeted for this year.	underway. Final	monitoring is	capped, GW	Landfill has been	underway.	Feasibility study		Remedial Action Taken	
	S 					-							- 140	No	,				No			q	Range	
	No.										,		NO	No		-			No			. 1.	Closed DW	

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was Facility Name No. required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different

ļ	6	6			····	6	`	· · · · ·			6		c	<u> </u>		6			Region
	Poligion AAI	Tonghorn AAB	Longhorn AAP						Longhorn AAP	T	Longion AAP	I onghom A A D		Longnorn AAP	Inch		Longhorn AAP		
	· K		₹			-			۲			4					Y		26
63	Perchlorate Site 47B (Bldg 25-C, 29-D, and 25-D, South Area) 72,100 ppb	Site 47A (Bldg 42-H, North Area) – 836 ppb(gw) 25.5 ppb (soil)	Deroblomato		runoff)	11,000 ppb (surface water	1,450 pph (soil)	Site 47 (Plant 3 area) – 82,900	Perchlorate	(gw)	Perchlorate Site 46 (Plant 2 area) 30 ppb	2,420 ppb (soil)	88,000 ppb (gw)	Perchlorate	3/Unlined Evap pond) – 203,000 ppb (gw)	Site 18/24 (Burning Ground No	Perchlorate	Detected * Devel	Constituent and
	02/1998	02/1998							02/1998		02/1998			02/1998	-		07/1998	Detection	Date(s) of
	GW	GW Soil					SW runoff	Soil	GW		GW		Soil	GW		3	GW	Media	Contaminated
	No remedy	No remedy	underway.	water runoff.	perchlorate surface	efforts to reduce	cover soils near	material used to	Evene landen		No remedy		underway	Feasibility study	Treatment Plant	at the facility's GW			Remedial Action
	No	No						Z			No	-	- !	Z				Range	Op.
	No	No						No o			No			Z		No	200	iren eta	Closed DW

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

	6					Charach po pro Com-
		· 	6		6	RPA Region
	Longhorn AAP	Longhorn AAP		Longhom AAP	Longhorn AAP	Facility Name
	K	Y		Y	¥	S
	TNT - 3900 - 57 000 000 mb	HMX - 2.9 ppb (gw)		RDX - 1.44 ppb (gw)	Perchlorate Site 50 (former sump wastewater tank) 63,000 ppb 45,600 mph (soil)	Highest Consumation Level Detected.*
03/1996	07/1000	03/1996		03/1996	02/1998	Date(S) of
SOII		GW		GW	GW Soil	Contaminated Media
Landfill has been capped and contaminated groundwater from this site is corrently	groundwater from this site is currently being extracted and pumped to the facility's GW Treatment Plant	Treatment Plant Landfill has been capped and contaminated	contaminated groundwater from this site is currently being extracted and pumped to the facility's GW	Landfill has been capped and	No remedy	Remedial Action Taken
		No		No	No	Op.
No		No		No	No.	Closed DW Wells

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different required based on a site-specific risk assessment. ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a

	Facility Name	2						
	The state of the s		Highest Concentration Level Detected*	Date(s) of Detection	Contamile Media	ntaminated Niedia	mated Remedial Action B Tailon	Ē
			·				pumped to the facility's GW	pumped to the facility's GW
							Treatment Plant	Treatment Plant
	Range (WSMR)	Z	RDX -24 ppb (gw)	08/1991	GW		OB/OD unit closed in	OB/OD unit closed in n/a Dec 2000 at direction
	_						of NMED after a	of NMED after a
		-					determination was	determination was
	•	_					made that explosives	made that explosives
,							residue in the GW	residue in the GW
Ċ							comprised an unacceptable level of	comprised an unacceptable level of
							contamination for a	contamination for a
		-					potable water aquifer.	potable water aquifer.
							Permit Ambigation	Permit Ambiantian
						···	submitted to NMED	submitted to NMED
	WSMR	Z		L	1		in Oct 2003.	in Oct 2003.
		j-	reteinorate	04/1999	GW		Supply well HTA-3,	Supply well HTA-3,
							once provided water	once provided water
							buildings in the	buildings in the drinking water
							immediate area of the	the
							OB/OD unit fitted	tted
							with a reverse	
							osmosis filter. The	osmosis filter. The contaminants
							filter was installed to	filter was installed to related to the
							remove the naturally	remove the naturally OB/OD

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a

Closed DW Wells

- 1	6	1		7								_					
		6	6											_		Region	EPA
***	Kirtland AFB	Kirtland AFB (Contaminated area is on Kirtland AFB property but belongs to Sandia National Labs)	Kirtland AFB (Contaminated area is on Kirtland AFB property but belongs to Sandia National Labs) ****	MINICAN	WEND												
	Z	Z	Z	2			· ·									į	ALV
HMX (10 ppm)	North HE Pit	Perchlorate - 12.6 ppb (dw)	Perchlorate - 50,500 ppb (soil)	Nitrate 29ppm									-		Description of the second	blaisii:	
1994-1998	1004 1000	Feb 2004	April 2001	1996							-				Detection	Date(s) of	
2011	0 11	Water (KAFB Well #17 located at heliport #1, not used for drinking water)	Soil (Chestnut Range – SNL Test site)													Contaminated	A The Company of the
No cleanup decisions has been made still in		No remedy	No remedy		effectiveness.	uncertainties	wide scale due to	water but has not	perchlorate from	effective in removing	been shown to be	the water TO has	radionuclides from		iliken	Remedial Action	
Yes		No	Yes										78	00.8 0.00 0.00 0.00	Range	ှ	
· No		No.	No	1								<u> </u>	<u>_</u>		- 74 - 74 - 74	Ω	

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a

0		6	0	7 6	6				7-		-	6				Stephen Commen
												Ο,				Region
	NWIRP McGregor	NWIRP McGregor	Ft Hood	Autus ALCD	A)his AED	Kirtland AFR		****	Kirtland AED				****	V		Facility Name
5	Z	Z	Z	Z	2	2		7			-					
RDX (810 ppb) (gw)	PDV (4700	Perchlorate – 91,000 ppb (soil)	OD site, explosives in soil	Skeet and trap range no longer in use	1,3,5-TNB (508 ppm) 2,4,6	Coul III D	South HE Pit 2,4,6-TNT (102 ppm)	North HE Pit 2,4,6-TNT (4.5 ppm)			RDX (12 ppm)		North HE Pit RDX (3 ppm)	South HE Pit HMX (150 ppm)		Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
03/1999		05/1998	n/a	n/a	1994-1998		1994-1998	1994-1998			1994-1998		1994-1998	1994-1998		Date(s) of Detection
Soil GW		Surface soils	n/a	n/a	Soil		Soil	Soil			Soil	-	Soil	Soil		Contaminated Media
Capped – removal Capped - removal	is in place.	Fluidized had speak	3/o	Investigation planned	No remedy		quarterly sampling (7 of 8 quarters)	No cleanup decisions has been made still in			of 8 quarters)	quarterly sampling (7	No cleanup decisions	of 8 quarters)	quarterly sampling (7	Remedial Action
No	ONI	Yes	V		Yes			Yes			-		Yes			Op. Range
No	Ö	No		No	No			No		·			No			Closed DW Wells

required based on a site-specific risk assessment. environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different

		∞	6	6	10	T 6	6	T_			. T					LANGE STREET	(, grant) **
						3	6	6	6		مام	0		ا ب	6		Down
		Depot	Ft Sill	Camp Bullis	Camp Bullis	Camp Bullis	Camp Bulhs	Camp Bulls	Camb Sams	Camp Bullis	Camp Bullis	Camp Bullis		NWIRP McGregor	NWIRP McGregor		eachty./\ame
		Ż	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	2	2 2	Z	Z	2	z	Z		į
		RDX 880 ppm (soil) RDX 77 ppb (gw)	Eight Powder Burn areas – CoC – explosives in soil and GW;	Tetryl - 0.0657 ppb (GW)	Nitrobenzene – 3.26 ppb (GW)	Tetrachloroethylene – 0.61 ppb (GW)	2,4-Dinitrotoluene - 0.0469 ppb (GW)	2,6-Dinitrotoluene – 0.0469 ppb (GW)	2,4,6-1rinitrotoluene – 0.140 ppb (GW)	RDX - 10.3 ppb (GW)	HMX – 2.23 ppb (gw)	Perchlorate - 345 ppb (gw)	TNT (8 ppb)(gw)	TNT (23000 mmh)(soil)	HMX (3500 ppb)(soil)	Highest Conventration Level Defected -	Constituent and
		Early 1988	N/A	10/2002	08/2001	05/2001	5/2000	5/2000	11/2000	11/2001	10/2002	01/2003	04/2001	04/2001	12/2001		Date(s) of
		Soil GW	N/A	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	Soil	Mean	Contaminated
ratare action may be	Other sites have soil contamination where	Soil removal and treatment completed in 1997.	N/A	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	No remedy	Capped – removal Capped - removal	Capped - removal	Capped – removal	Dal çan	Remedial Action
		No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No.	5 8	N	No		No	Range	On.
	RDX detected above risk based levels.	Yes (Temporarily)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No 3	No la	No	No		No	William Market	Classed NW

required based on a site-specific risk assessment. environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest DEATH PACIFIC NAME NOT TO CONSTRUCT AND While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a

	∞	~	T				- ·			
		∞	∞		∞ 	~	9 0	0		Kegion
	Arsenal	Depot (FUDS)	Black Hills Army Depot (FUDS)		Depot (FUDS)	Depot	Depot Pueblo Chemical	Pueblo Chemical		
	K	Z	Z		Z	2	4 7	Z		
Grand Solated grenades	At different times during the cleanup project workers have	HMX - 140ppm (surf. soil) HMX - 130 ppm (sub soil) HMX - 130 µg/L (gw) HMX - 1.9 µg/L (sw)	RDX – 870 ppm (surf. soil) RDX - 340 ppm (sub soil) RDX - 13000 μg/L (gw) RDX – 3.4 μg/L (sw)	TNT – 120 μg/L (gw)	TNT18 ppm (sediment) TNT - 1900 ppm (surf. soil) TNT - 230 mm (surf. soil)	HMX - 5.3 ppb (gw)	INT - 100,000 ppm (soil)			Constituent and Highest Concentration Level Detected *
	Random Times	1988 Present	1988 – Present		1988 – Present	Early 1988	Early 1988			Date(s) of Detection
media for white	Sampling of the sampling of the	Surface soil Subsurface soil GW SW	Surface soil Subsurface soil GW SW	Subsurface soil GW	Sediment Surface soil	GW	Soil GW			Contaminated Media
	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy		No Remedy	Same as above.	Same as above.	Boundary Containment System Installed for GW.	needed.	Remedial Action
	No	No	No		No	Z	No			Op. Range
	No	No	No		No	No	No	provided or treatment systems installed.	Alternate water supplies	Closed DW Wells

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest Security Names | NUL

۷	5	9	9	٧	2	9	,			-				∞						<u>, -</u>	$\overline{\mathbf{I}}$	00		T				10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	H
	Ŧ	Ec	Ec	Εί	<u>S</u>	5 6	וק						_	_									_					Single Si	Region
010	Ft Ord	Edwards AFR	Edwards AFB	Edwards AFB	Sterra Army Depot	Datoct & Follit	orher's Daint											Alca)	Range (USACE	Badlands Bombing	Bombing Range	Areas - Badlands	Air Force Retained						
ř	V	<	Y	Υ	z	Z								_						Z		-	Z					30.00	
Perchlorate - 106 ppb (soil)	į		I nnh (mu)	(X - 1.8 nnh (ow))	n/a	2,4,6 Trinitrophenol (TNP) ppb	twice.	submitted the Black Hills data	confused the two projects and	It appears that Region 8	Hills (just in a different order.)	numbers as listed for Black	listed in the table are the same	detect. The numbers originally	constituents have been not	analysis for explosives	not accurate. All chemical	Badlands Bombing Range is	provided in the table for	The information EPA originally			f f round, money to	phosphorous found however	no evidence of residual white	white phosphorous; there was	that were thought to have had		Considuent and
06/2002	08/20/2002	08/04/199/	09/04/1997	00/04/1007	n/0	1999													\$	n/a		n/a						E CLES COL	Date(s) of
Cail	Soil	GW	UW	2011	Call	Pond Sediment													100	7/0		n/a			mor done.	not done	sem snorodasoda	STOOMS.	Contaminated
	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy	underway	Risk Assessment is						-							11/2			n/a							Remedial Action
100	No	No	N _o	Yes		N ₂	•										_	<u> </u>	n/a	-		No						Range	Op.
140	No	No	No	NA		No									•				n/a			No							Closed DW

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different required based on a site-specific risk assessment. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on

		,						
	Davilly Name	Ann	Constituent and Highest Concentration Level	Date(s) of Detaction	Confaminated	Remedial Action Taken	Qp.	Closed DW Walls
	Ft Ord	< .	Day 10000 1000					
•	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	H	KL)X - 16,800 ppb (soil)	1/1994 -	Soil	Excavation to 0.5	No	NA
4				1/2003		ppm and		
				<u>-</u>		consolidation in		
9	FLOID	×	TNT – 12,800 ppb (soil)	1/1994 -	Soil	RI underway	No	NA
	Ft Ord	4		1/2003		•	i	F
9	TOID	Υ	HMX 1800 ppb (soil)	1/1994 -	Soil	RI underway	No	NA
9	Ft Ord	Y	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene – 110ppb	7/2002	Soil	RI underway	No	NA
9	Ft Ord	V	Nitrohanzana AAO 1	10000				٠.
9	Ft Ord	<	A Nitratal - 440 ppp (SOII)	//2002	Soil	RI underway	No	NA
9	Ft Ord	V	4-Mill otoluene - /40 ppb (soil)	7/2002	Soil	RI underway	N _O	NA
	MCAS El Toro	< -	2,4-Dillirololuene – 330 ppb	7/2002	Soil	RI underway	No	AN
9	,	•	ppb avg. 9 of 235 samples	1-3/2002	Sorl	RI underway	No	No
	MOACHIT	<u> </u>	above detection limit					
9	MCAS EI 10ro	×	avg. 7 of 235 samples above	1-3/2002	Soil	RI underway	No	No
		_	detection limit					
9	MCAS El Toro	Y	HMX - 1400 ppb max, 1 of 235	1-3/2002	Soil	RI underway	No	S
	Concord Name	_	samples above detection limit					-
9	Station		RDX – 0.9ppm (sludge) IR Site 29	04/1995	Septic Tank	No Remedy	S.	No
9	Concord Naval	۲ 	4-Nitrotoluene-0.1 ppm (soil)	04/1995		No Remedy	2	
		-	IR Site 29					INO
9	Station		Phosphorous – unknown level	11/1988	Soil	No Remedy	No	No
9	d Naval	V	ļ					
			odot – 1000	04/1993	Septic Lank	No Remedy	No	No

BEA Facility Name NEE environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have required based on a site-specific risk assessment. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest

	10		10		10		10	T	10	T	10		10		10	.	9	9	9	9	T				Γ.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
				_		<u> </u>		-				_														70.4	
	Camp Bonneville		Camp Bomic Ame	Camp Bonnevilla	Dangor She F	Donaca Cita T	Bangor Site F		pangor offer D	Rangar Cita D	Bangor Site A		bangor Site A	Daniel	Bangor Site A	Ivial C Island	Mare Island	Mare Island	Mare Island	Concord Naval Station			Station	Concord Naval	Station		racilly Name
		;	7	4	×		Y		res		Yes		Yes		Yes	Z	2 2	2 2	2					₹			NE
	RDX -120 ppb (gw)		Perchlorate - 270 ppb (gw)		INT - 8600 ppb (gw)	KUX	RDX – 1800 ppb (gw)			DNI	DNT – 1.97 ppb (gw)	INT	TNT – 18 ppb (gw)	KUX	RDX – 1000 ppb (gw)	HMX	INI - /./ppm	RUX - 290ppb	DOV 200	1,3-Dinitrobenzene - 0.1ppm	located)	(Hashle to see from 1	Tetranitramina 0.4	Cyclotetromethylano	(sentic tank) IR Site 20	Highest Concentration Level Detected *	Constituent and
io	24/01	12/17/03	07/24/01	02/1995	02/1995	02/1995	02/1995		-	04/1992	04/1992	08/1995	08/1995	02/1995	02/1995	1993-2003	1993-2003	1993-2003		1995			1995	1000		Detection	Date(s) of
	GW		GW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Soil	GW	Ground Water	Ground Water	Ground Water		Soil			Soil	Tidnia		Neus.	Contaminated
investigation	No – Under	investigation	No – Under	Done	Yes in progress	Done .	Yes in progress	Done	Done	Done	Yes in progress	Done	Yes in progress	Done	Yes in progress	No Remedy	No Remedy	No Remedy		No Remedy	-		No Remedy			lakon	Remedial Action
	No		No		No	1	No		No		No		2		No S	20	No	No		No	· · · ·		No			Range	Op.
	No		No .		n/a	ţ	9/9	in 2000	Yes - Closed		No.	110	No	í	.No	n/o	n/a	n/a		No			No				Closed DW

environmental risks at the facility. concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different required based on a site-specific risk assessment. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was

10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Tegon.
Fuze Burn Area **	Experimental Field Station **	II Zone and Range Fire Burn Area **	II Zone and Range Fire Burn Area **	Ft. Richardson	Fort Lewis	Camp Bonneville	ia u wy Name
			*	Y	Y	Z	
TNT - 79,000 ppm soil max.	TNT - 1,100 ppm soil max. 1,3 DNB - 14 ppm soil max.	RDX - 3.7 ppm soil max.	TNT - 130 ppm soil max.	White Phosphorus particles .25mm to 4mm	RDX - 0.8 ppb max.	HMX - 2.6 ppbppb (gw)	Constituent and Highest Consentration Level Defected *
1999	1999	1999	1999	Late 1980's	2000 to present	TO 12/17/03	Date(s) of Detection
Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil and Sediment	GW	GW	Contaminated Media
controls; on and	Institutional controls; Soil excavation and segregation, Fragment detonation; Soil disposal	Institutional controls; Soil excavation and segregation, Fragment detonation; Soil disposal	Institutional controls; Soil excavation and segregation, Fragment detonation; Soil disposal	Yes, Pond Drying through Pumping and Ditching	Monitoring only due to low levels of	No – Under investigation	Remedial Action Taken
No	No	No .	No	Yes	Yes	No	Op. Range
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Closed DW Wells

environmental risks at the facility. Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., the highest required based on a site-specific risk assessment. EPA The data was taken for a number of different

10 10 10 10 Region Ordance Disposal Area (NODA) ** INEEL: Naval Administration Atmospheric Oceanic and Atmospheric NOAA) ** Administration Oceanic and INEEL: National (NOAA) ** INEEL: National (NOAA) ** Administration Atmospheric Oceanic and NEEL: National Pacility Name < K × 4 RDX - 328 ppm soil max. 1,3 DNB - 27 ppm (soil) RDX - 53 ppm (soil) TNT - 17,014 ppm (soil) Lighest Consentration Fevel Constituent and 1999 1999 1999 1999 Detection Date(s) of Soil Soil Soil Soil Contaminated Media Soil disposal segregation, Fragment detonation; Soil excavation and Soil disposal Soil excavation and Institutional controls; Fragment detonation: segregation, Soil disposal Soil excavation and segregation, Soil disposal Institutional controls; Fragment detonation; Fragment detonation; segregation, Soil excavation and Soil disposal Institutional controls; Institutional controls; Fragment detonation; Remedial Action Taken Z No Z Z Range Op. Z S_o Vo ď Closed DW

demilitarization of munitions following WWII or with production operations that are located on current and former range areas. constituent release is associated with current range operations. In most cases at Redstone, the munitions constituent is associated with previous demolition / * - Pertaining to Redstone Army Arsenal (including NASA Marshall Space Flight Center), a "Yes" response for this field does NOT mean that the munition

required based on a site-specific risk assessment. environmental risks at the facility. facility, the levels displayed may not represent current levels in the environment or the current human health or ranged from non-detect up to the levels shown. While the chart displays the highest levels detected at a site on a purposes spanning a number of years. Concentrations at any given response site for any given constituent may have concentration ever detected at at least one site on the facility. The data was taken for a number of different The table below represents the historical high level of detected contaminants found at a facility, i.e., Also, at some of the sites, it was determined that no response action was the highest

** - Although the INEEL sites were contaminated from use by the U.S. Navy and U.S. Air Corps during the WWII period, INEEL is now managed by DOE.

sampling under this large (6,526 acre) area, which includes three former ranges besides the current operation. *** - Although it is closed, there is one operational range: Missile Defense Agency's Fort Wingate Missile Launch Complex. There has been no groundwater

***** - Various sites at Sandia and a few at KAFB have detected explosives in the soil. Most are below 1 ppm and rarely exceed 2-3 ppm. There has been nothing

***** - n/a = Data not available

EPA Region	State	Site Name	NPL Status	Operational Range
	MA	FORT DEVENS		Inventory Acres
	MA	OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE/CAMP EDWARDS	Tindle and a	4,588
2	Ş	FORT DIX (LANDFILL SITE)	7 1 2	13,285
2	Ę	PICATINNY ARSENAL (USARMY)	Final	28,002
3	M	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (FINGEWOOD ABEA)	Final	4,545
3	M	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (MICHAEL SVIII) E I ANDEIL)	Final	64250 (note 2)
ω	Ş	FORT EUSTIS (US ARMY)	Final	0.000
ယ	ě	FORT GEORGE G MEADE	Final	3,999
3	PΑ		Final	129
з	PA		Final	Q (note 2)
3	Š	CI COMENT	Final	2 (1016 4)
4	≱	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT (SOLITHEAST MICH COMMAND	Final	60,080
4	N _C	CAMP I F. IFI INF MILITARY DEC. (110NAVY)	Final	88
4	ત	CHERRY POINT MARINE CORDS AIR STATION	Final	152,000
4	g S	MARINE CORPS OGISTICS BASE	Final	29,139
4	SC	PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORDS DECRET TERROR	Final	4
4	2	USARMY/NASA REDISTONE ABSENTAL DEPOL	Final	50
5	₹	NEW BRIGHTON/ARDEN HILL STOARD (1945)	Final	27,655
6	₹ Z	LONE STAR ARMY AMMI INITION DI ANT	Final	1,796
7	Ä	CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMI INITION DI ANT	Final	232
7		FORT RILEY	Final	6
7	₽	IOWA ARMY AMM INITION DI ANT	Final	92,660
7	Mo	ANT MODTUMEST	Final	1,338
7		SUNFLOWER ARMY AMMI INITION BY ANT	Final	696
7			Proposed	493
8		TOOELE ARMY DEPOT (NORTH AREA)	Final	1,659
9		BARSTOW MARINE CORPS I OCISTICS BASE	Final	1,457
9		CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORDS BASE	Final	2,438
9		EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE	Final	114,000
9		SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (I ISARMY)	Final	58,080
10		FORT LEWIS (LANDEIL NO. 5)	Deleted	11,442
10		W)	Deleted	77,577
10	_		Final	54,541
10		IR FORCE BASE	Final	922,589
10		ND ALII T EIEI D MIOTE A	Final	120,844
10	S	AGE! FIELD (NOTE 4)	Deleted	47,982
	ŀ			,

^{1.} In answering this question EPA is relying on the report prepared in response to Section 366 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 as the universe of operational ranges. The report was provided to Congress in March 2004. EPA does not have information on the dates when the ranges were last used. This chart cross-walks the CERCLA NPL inventory of DoD facilities with the Section 366 Report and lists those NPL facilities that have operational ranges. The acreage provided is for the entire operational range. Operational ranges may or may not be included in the NPL listing. EPA involvement on the Operational Ranges at these facilities is limited to areas undergoing CERCLA Response activities.

combined because there is a single entry for Aberdeen Proving Ground and Letterkenny Army Depot in the Section 366 Report. 2. The Inventory Acres for Aberdeen Proving Ground (Edgewood Area and Michaelsville Landfill) and Letterkenny Army Depot (PDO and SE Areas) were

7b. Is the EPA seeking to take samples itself at any DOD facility or asking DOD to sample at any facility for perchlorate or other constituents of military munitions? If so, please identify the facility and the circumstances.

	10	10		5			()						<u></u>	EPA Region
	Bangor Site F	Dangor Site D		Orchard National Wildlife Refuge	Sangamo Electric Dump/Crab			Chanute Air Force Base (AFB)	Edwards/Massachusetts Military Reservation (MMR)	Otis Air National Guard Base	TOTAL ECONOMIS	Fort Deviens	Fort Devens	Facility Name
	-	4		-	≺			P		'n	1	t	Ή.	Jan
	RDX, TNT & DNT	TNT, DNT, other ordnance & pesticides		constituents of military munitions.	Perchlorate and other			Perchlorate		Perchlorate/Explosives	Perchiorate		Perchlorate	Constituent
Assurance Project Plans (QAPP). The Navy is yet to respond.	EPA Region 10 recently requested that the Navy include perchlorate as a sampling parameter in its updated Quality	Groundwater sampling performed, wells decommissioned in 2000	conducting a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study at the Crab Orchard Site. This investigation includes taking samples at the site for perchlorate and other constituents of military munitions.	between General Dynamics Ordnance and Tactical Systems Inc. (GDOTS), EPA, Department of Interior (DOI), and Illinois EPA. GDOTS, as the Respondent, is in the process of	04, and results received NLT Jul 04.	recently detected in surface water. The Air Force is complying with EPA requests; field sampling should be complete in May	perchlorate at 1) several closed jet/rocket engine test facilities and 2) a former fire training area where perchlorate was	EPA has requested that the Air Force (AF) to sample for	complying with our requests.	EPA continues to request sampling and the military has been	EPA requested sampling from former training areas - 2003; Mass Development to sample - Spring 2004	wells - Spring 2004	EPA requested sampling 2003: Army to sample water simply	Nature of Request

	10			ī	5		Region
	TOILLEWIS	Fort I orgin				Camp Bonneville	Pacilly Name
	×					z	E
	Y RDX			NUA, TIVIA, I IN I	DUA FIVA TOTAL	N Perchlorate,	Constituent
ranges on a voluntary basis.	Fort Lewis is conducting monitoring at the perimeter of active	monitoring of on-site wells is ongoing	no detections of perchlorate. Other results still at lab. Quarterly	residential wells as of March 2004. Preliminary results indicate	The sampled 14 off-base	Washington State Foology Dent has seen 1-111 co.	Nature of Request

8b. Is the EPA aware of any perchlorate contamination or contamination from other constituents of military munitions in public or private drinking water wells where a DOD facility is a possible or likely source of the contamination? If so, please identify each such circumstance.

V-1		2		1. 有限的是一个人的,我们就是一个人的是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们
Region			Consument	Name of Combining them.
	Camp Edwards/Massachusetts Military Reservation (MMR)	Ţ	Perchlorate	THREE municipal supply wells in the Monument Beach well field in Bourne have had detections of perchlorate below 1 ppb. These wells have been taken off-line voluntarily by the water district.
<u> </u>				Two private residential wells just off of the northwest corner of MMR have had perchlorate detected in them. One well has had sporadic detections below 1 ppb. The other well has had
				consistent detections of perchlorate at approximately 2 ppb. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts has provided bottled water to this residence since this concentration is above the advice level of 1 ppb that the Commonwealth provided to the Town of Bourne in relation to perchlorate detected in the Monument Beach supply
p	Camp Edwards/MMR	т,	RDX	A community supply well (supplies a condominium complex of approximately 90 people) also just north of the Northwest Corner of MMR has been found to contain RDX contamination at a level
		·····		8 ppb. This ion limit, bu
	Aberdeen Proving Ground		Perchlorate	Aberdeen City water production wells have detected perchlorate
ω		<u></u>		up to 5 ppb. Finished drinking water from the public water system has recorded perchlorate levels water from the public water
				Aberdeen, and the Army are monitoring the system to ensure
∞	Badger Army Ammunition Plant		Dinitrotoluene	Tests of drinking-water wells serving homes south of Badger
			(DNI)	Army Ammunition Plant showed trace levels of DNT. Levels are

	Region Tastiny Name NB Constinuem	
er to the a	Nature of Contamination below the Wisconsin regulatory level of 50 parts per trillion. The	